

Research on Health Outcomes for Vaccinated vs Unvaccinated Children:

An Interview with Neil Z. Miller

Medical Research Journalist and Author

Interview by Jonathan Otto

**In: ‘Covid Secrets’, 2nd series, March 2022:
Bonus Episode 7: ‘Vaxx as Population Control’**

First published online 22 March 2022

Jonathan Otto [JO]: ...What were some of the most striking facts you uncovered to prove the case, put the nail in the coffin?... A lot of people would say, ‘Look, I get it – you’ve heard this anecdotal data; you’ve got friends who have children who are autistic and they blame that on the vaccine. But look, this is just disgruntled parents, needing someone to blame, and you really don’t have proof for what you’re saying.’ Is there any nail in the coffin here? Is there any tell-tale sign that we know and can prove, and that the data shows, and if so what is that data, what’s that proof, what’s the science that backs that point up?

Neil Miller [NM]: I was honoured to be able to collaborate on two studies with Dr Brian Hooker. Dr Brian Hooker is very well respected in the scientific and medical communities: he’s a professor at Simpson University, and he’s also the Chief Science Officer at Children’s Health Defense, and a biologist by training. In 2020 and 2021, he and I collaborated on two separate papers where we looked at vaccinated versus unvaccinated children. We had access to the data from several medical doctors who admitted into their practices children who were both vaccinated and unvaccinated, and that was how we had access to this data. We analysed that data, and what we discovered was that children who’d received vaccines were anywhere from four to over twelve times more likely to develop various negative or adverse health outcomes. We looked at various conditions, including... allergies, autism, gastrointestinal disorders and ear infections. We looked at

developmental disabilities, and out of all these conditions, every single one of these conditions that we looked at, as I said, the vaccinated children had odds ratios ranging from anywhere between four and over twelve times more likely to develop these adverse conditions after having been vaccinated. So clearly, the unvaccinated children are healthier in this respect, in comparison with these children who are receiving these multiple vaccines.

So the people, the parents, are being gas-lit by the health authorities; and in fact it was the [US] CDC [Center for Diseases Control] that was negligent in not conducting this study on their own. The National Institutes of Health should have conducted this study, or the World Health Organization should have conducted this study. And there's a good reason why these health authorities have never conducted these studies – and that's because they know exactly what they're going to find, and they don't want that information to be out there.

So absolutely, parents need to investigate these studies that Brian Hooker and I conducted – they're free studies that can be downloaded.¹ One study is published by the *Journal of Translational Medicine*, and the other study was published by *Sage Open Medicine*. And they can be downloaded, and I highly recommend that they *are* downloaded.

And there are two other studies that I want to mention – two other studies that have also looked at vaccinated versus unvaccinated children. In 2017 Dr Anthony Mawson conducted a study where he looked at vaccinated versus unvaccinated children; and he found the same thing that Dr Brian Hooker and I found in our papers, and that vaccinated children are statistically significantly more likely to develop adverse health outcomes, to be diagnosed with these various health conditions if they were vaccinated, versus children who were unvaccinated. And then just recently, Dr Paul Thomas, who's a paediatrician in Oregon – he collaborated with Dr James Lyons-Weiler and they brought out a very important paper that also looks at vaccinated versus unvaccinated children.²

Unfortunately, right now it's not only difficult to get your paper published in a journal that's indexed by the National Library of Medicine, but if you have found problems with vaccines, not only is that difficult, but if you do become successful at getting your paper published in a reputable journal, then you have to be concerned about whether there's going to be a concerted effort to have that paper retracted somewhere later on down the road.

There's a movement right now to retract all papers that have found that there are problems with vaccines; and unfortunately Dr Paul Thomas and James Lyons-Weiler's paper *was* retracted, but the reasons for that retraction were really sincerely bogus – that's really a very important study.

So, there are four studies that I highly recommend that everybody gets a hold of, get the pdf of those studies, download them and hold on to them. Because I'm telling you, right now what's happening is that health authorities are making an effort to destroy the control group, OK. A lot of people say to me, they ask me, 'Why, Mr Miller, is there such an incredible movement, to have such pressure to have parents vaccinate their children against their will, when roughly 95 per cent of all children have received all the vaccines that are recommended by the health authorities?'. So why *are* they going after that last 5 per cent – what is that about? Well I'll tell you exactly what that's about: they want to destroy the control group.

Criminals often do two things, right? – first thing they do is they commit their crime; but often, the second thing that they do is they try to cover up their crime. Well guess what? Dr Brian Hooker and I would never have been able to conduct our study if the medical doctors hadn't accepted vaccinated *and* unvaccinated into their practice – weren't *allowed* to accept those unvaccinated children into their practice. And there's lots of pressure on medical doctors to no longer allow unvaccinated children into their practice, and there's lots of pressure on families of unvaccinated children to have them vaccinated, And the reason for this – one of the reasons for this – is not only to increase their revenue stream for the pharmaceutical companies, but to destroy the evidence. Because without those unvaccinated children, we cannot do the studies that compare the vaccinated with the unvaccinated children, to determine exactly what are these vaccines doing to the health of our nation, to the health of children around the world. And so that's critically important.

JO: Thank you for sharing that, Neil – I appreciate the background on the four studies. I appreciate you sharing some of the core tools people can look at... – four key studies you describe so people can be aware of them. And Dr Paul Thomas shared that study with me last year, and I felt like there was really something to what he was doing there, looking at the numbers and going through it in detail, the detail of disease categories, finding that it was very much night and day – it was shocking, even for him, when he came to that, thinking that perhaps, or likely, the children who were *partially*

vaccinated would be the most healthy because they would be the ones who would ward off some of these other unwanted diseases. But in fact it was the unvaccinated children who were far healthier; and because of that, in my recent interview with him, he's talked about the fact that he has very mixed feelings about his previous work, and that the *The Vaccine-Friendly Plan*³ is not as friendly as he wanted it to be, and it's not something that he stands by any more. Which is very telling – when people are renouncing their own work; I mean, talk about surrender of ego....

NM: Dr Paul Thomas is a hero, and Dr Paul Thomas is a compassionate paediatrician – very rare in the medical community right now. And I have a lot of respect for Dr Paul Thomas.

And Dr Paul Thomas also wrote a book – I've written several books, and my books are... – I'm more direct in how I address the vaccine issue. In my books – for example in *Miller's Review of Critical Vaccine Studies*,⁴ I summarise 400 studies that were critical of vaccines. These are studies published in peer-reviewed medical journals that document safety and efficacy problems.

Now Paul Thomas also wrote an excellent book, and many people love his book, and they use it as a bible. But in Paul Thomas's book, he does recommend that children receive various aluminum-containing vaccines. What he's looking for is the schedule that has the least amount of aluminum, and that's very good to try to remove as much aluminum as possible from the schedule. I haven't heard that Paul Thomas is now concerned about some of the recommendations that he's made, but I can understand it, because from my perspective, yeah – you don't want any aluminum in your baby; I'm sorry, but the studies clearly show that aluminum is neuro-toxic and can cause auto-immune disorders. And so I respect Paul Thomas if he's now questioning whether he should have made some other types of recommendations.

[unclear]... because of his data, the reason they're trying to take away Paul Thomas's licence, and they tried to retract his paper immediately it was published. And I think they're going after him and they're angry because he's accepting unvaccinated patients, unvaccinated children, into his practice. And I think Paul Thomas has a huge collection of children that are unvaccinated and don't have autism, OK. The rates of autism – approximately 1 in 42 children right now in the United States are autistic;

and Dr Paul Thomas has one of the largest collections of children that are unvaccinated – and he does not have autism – a very very low rate of autism in his practice of unvaccinated children. And that is very concerning to the health authorities; the pharmaceutical industry is not happy about that information being available. And I think that's one of the reasons why they went after Dr Paul Thomas's paper, with Dr James Lyons-Weiler, and I believe this is why they're ultimately trying to take away Dr Paul Thomas's licence. It has nothing to do with whether or not he's a good practitioner. He's one of the best, OK; and if you were to interview Dr Paul Thomas's patients and families that he works with, every single one of them that I'm aware of absolutely love him, and they're upset and concerned about how he's being castigated and targeted by the pharmaceutical and medical industry.

JO: Thank you for your commentary on that.... [Dr Thomas] said 'this is a war on our children, and I'm prepared to do what it takes now....'.

NM: ...Right now, currently as things stand worldwide, we are in a dire period historically. And people have to understand that the pressure that we're under to accept more and more drugs, more and more pharmaceutical products into our bodies, and to be told that we don't have control over our bodies any more. A lot of people respect this idea that 'it's my body, my choice' when it comes to certain decisions, except when it is related to vaccines of pharmaceutical products; and then all of a sudden, it's not your body and it's not your choice, and you're being told that you're selfish if you don't take these vaccines, to give these vaccines, every single vaccine to yourself and to your family....

Well I'll tell you something. About 20 years ago, the dialogue started to shift where in the financial pages, that we were seeing the pharmaceutical executives and Bloomberg and others speaking about the fact that the childhood vaccination schedule is 'saturated'.... Now what does that mean?.... Well, it means that there are *so many* vaccines being given to children during infancy and early childhood that it's very difficult to add new vaccines to the childhood schedule. And so it's hard to continue to increase the revenue stream that these pharmaceutical companies are experiencing. And so they wanted to go after the adolescent and adult markets. And so they were going to put into place efforts over the next several years to begin to mandate vaccines for adults, so that in order to work, or to keep your job, to fly on a plane, to go out into society, as an

adult you were going to have to take an MMR vaccine, a pertussis vaccine, a pneumonia vaccine, or a shingles vaccine, an annual flu vaccine, or whatever else they told you that you need to keep your job.

Now, with the pandemic, with covid-19... – I call covid-19, I call SARS-2 ‘the gateway drug’; it’s the gateway drug to the health passport; and the health passport is just the advancement or natural evolution of what I was seeing 20 years ago, when they were talking about trying to institute these adolescent and adult mandates. And with this health passport, the goal is to eventually get all people around the world in line to take a series of vaccines in order to participate in the benefits of society.

Right now [as we speak in early 2022] we’ve been told that the unvaccinated are not entitled to the benefits of society: you can’t go to a concert, you can’t go on a plane, you can’t keep your job, you might not be able to go shopping at some point, if it gets that draconian. That’s where it’s headed with these health passports, and the covid-19 was the gateway drug to institute these health passports....

You have to fight this tooth and nail, because this is a very dystopian future where they’re trying to lead us into, and you will lose control over your own bodies, and you will lose control over your own ability to take care of your family, to maintain a livelihood and to take care of your own children, if you’re unvaccinated and don’t take every drug that they deem is necessary for you to take.

So I’m just giving you a little preview of where we’re headed if we can’t wake up enough people in time. I’ve been doing this for 35 years, and that’s always been my goal – to wake parents up before the damage is done. I’ve heard too many horror stories of parents who’ve come to me after the damage has been done, and then they decide to begin doing their research. And by that time, their child may be autistic; the child may have neurological or immunological damage, and it’s very hard to reverse or remedy the harms that have been done due to the vaccination programmes.

The CDC and the FDA and the World Health Organization, in my opinion, have been captured by Big Pharma; and media has been captured by Big Pharma; Big Tech has been captured by Big Pharma. They are censoring in accordance with the wants and desires of Big Pharma. I believe that Big Pharma and the medical industry, Big Tech and the media are all right now

in collaboration to move and to herd the American people, the people of Australia, Canada, the UK, Europe and around the world down a very narrow dystopian path, and we need to wake people up before it gets much worse.

And so I'm sorry to be the bearer of this type of news, but at this point it's 'all hands on deck'; and if you are aware of these problems, find your niche-area of expertise, find an area where you can contribute and where you can help if you have knowledge in any way, if you have friends that are going to vaccinate their children and they have not yet done their research, help them with their research. Now if they've made their decisions, move on to someone who's interested in what you have to say....

Everybody, I wish you well: we're all in this together, and we can't win this war against humanity unless we all can wake up and work together in collaboration, and understand exactly what we're up against.... I support all of you in this effort to move forward to a more utopian society, not a dystopian one.

Notes and References

1 "Health effects in vaccinated versus unvaccinated children, with covariates for breastfeeding status and type of birth" by Brian S. Hooker & Neil Z. Miller, *Journal of Translational Medicine*, 7, 2021, pp. 1–11; available at <https://tinyurl.com/2p8bd4zk> (accessed 22 March 2022); and

"Analysis of health outcomes in vaccinated and unvaccinated children: developmental delays, asthma, ear infections and gastrointestinal disorders" by Brian S. Hooker & Neil Z. Miller, *Sage Open Medicine*, 8, 2020, pp. 1–11; available at <https://tinyurl.com/2p92aztp> (accessed 22 March 2022).

2 "Relative incidence of office visits and cumulative rates of billed diagnoses along the axis of vaccination" by James Lyons-Weiler & Paul Thomas, *International Journal of Environmental Research & Public Health*, 17 (22), 2020, 8674; available at <https://tinyurl.com/42jdxmdm> (accessed 22 March 2022).

3 Paul Thomas, *The Vaccine-Friendly Plan: Dr Paul's Safe and Effective Approach to Immunity and Health-from Pregnancy Through Your Child's Teen Years*, Ballantine, New York, 2016.

4 Neil Z. Miller, *Miller's Review of Critical Vaccine Studies: 400 Important Scientific Papers Summarized for Parents and Researchers*, New Atlantean Press, Santa Fe, NM, 2016.

About the interviewee

Neil Z. Miller is a medical research journalist and the Director of the Think Twice Global Vaccine Institute. He has devoted several decades to educating parents and health practitioners

about vaccines, encouraging informed consent and non-mandatory laws. He is the author of several books on vaccines, including *Vaccine Safety Manual for Concerned Families and Health Practitioners*; *Make an Informed Vaccine Decision for the Health of Your Child* (with Dr Mayer Eisenstein); *Vaccines: Are They Really Safe and Effective?* and *Vaccines, Autism and Childhood Disorders*. Neil gives talks on vaccines both locally and nationally, conducting lectures throughout the United States. He is a frequent guest on radio and TV talk-shows, including PBS, Phil Donahue and Montel Williams. He began his crusade against mandatory vaccines when his son was born, discovering numerous studies warning medical practitioners that vaccines are often unsafe and ineffective. His shock and anger at the suppression of information on the lack of the safety and ineffectiveness of vaccines culminated in his passionate advocacy of health freedom and informed parenting options.

Neil presented documentation about vaccine safety and efficacy problems long before these concerns were made public. For example, more than 20 years ago he wrote about toxic mercury being put into childhood vaccines and provided evidence linking vaccines and autism. He has publicly debated the pros and cons of mandatory vaccines with several pediatricians and other health practitioners, including the chief medical epidemiologist for the National Immunization program at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Transcribed by Richard House from the filmed online interview, 22 March 2022