Indoctrination Meets Inoculation: Interrogating Mass Vaccination

Richard House, Ph.D., C.Psychol.

With the Covid vaccine now being "rolled out" across the globe, how might the mass-vaccination juggernaut conceivably be halted? Answer: philosophically at the level of "the science" itself, and also by challenging the tell-tale propaganda assault of the provax establishment.

The individual effects of being, or not being, vaccinated are central, as are the totality of effects upon the whole human ecosystem of mass-vaccination programmes. Where, for example, is the "scientific research" on the impact on natural immune systems of the relentless mass vaccination of children? For decades, American children's natural immune systems have been blitzed with scores of vaccines - detailed in Dr Mateja Cernic's recent book *Ideological Constructs of Vaccination* (Vega Press, 2018); yet is any link being made between this fact, and the US being the world's worst-affected nation in relation to Covid-19? Predictably, none - because neither our positivistic scientists nor Big Pharma dare look into such quintessentially *scientific* questions. And we all know why.

Celebrated Philosopher of Science Paul K. Feyerabend (1924-94) wrote prophetically about the authoritarian tendencies of modern science and technology in *Science in a Free Society* (New Left Books, 1978) and in *The Tyranny of Science* (Polity, 2011). With any voices challenging the unctuously uncritical mainstream narrative on Covid vaccination today being systematically silenced, Professor Feyerabend must be turning in his grave. For democracy depends upon the open-minded discussion of a rich diversity of views – and it just isn't happening.

In his famous 1974 talk "How to defend society against science", Feyerabend said: "Science has now become as oppressive as the ideologies it had once to fight.... Heretics in science are still made to suffer from the most severe sanctions.... Science has become rigid, [and] it has ceased to be an instrument of change and liberation.... [It] inhibits freedom of thought" - adding that "Scientists quite often just don't know what they're talking about". Prophetic words indeed.

Mainstream medicine's uncritical support of mass vaccination is firmly rooted in a positivistic technocratic worldview; yet a mounting groundswell of dissent has other ideas, with technocratic "modernity" and scientism being decisively undermined by holistic "New Science" thinking. Massive commercial vested interests (aka "Big Pharma") also perpetuate vaccination ideology; and following Michel Foucault and Georges Canguilhem, the role of power in determining which "science" is granted legitimacy is clear for anyone who looks for it. Feyerabend again: "An independent science has long ago been replaced by the business science which lives off society and strengthens its totalitarian tendencies... The aim is no longer to find truth... but to keep the money

coming in..., [with] the show rigged in science's favour.... The superiority of science is not the result of research, or argument; it is the result of political, institutional, and even military pressures" (his italics).

As Mateja Cernic shows, peer-reviewed case-study accounts show a considerable number of families whose children have been permanently harmed by vaccination. The research claiming vaccines to be safe is routinely based on aggregative statistical ("ecological") reasoning which conveniently "hides" individual impacts, rather than upon detailed and painstaking individual case-studies of actual children.

Empirical research can also only monitor immediate or, at best, medium-term effects; it's quite impossible for narrowly specified positivistic research to reveal the total collateral effects of mass vaccination, including its life-long effects. Yet many people have a deep intuitive sense that there's something fundamentally wrong with mass vaccination for diseases which are only very rarely life-threatening – and such intuitive wisdom should never be condescendingly dismissed by the medical establishment as "unscientific", "ill-informed" lay-prejudice.

Why do we never hear medical science trumpeting the deaths from Adverse Drug Reactions? - which peer-reviewed studies quoted by Cernic show to number over 100,000 per annum in the USA, and nearly 200,000 in the European Union (conservative estimates).

And if the vaccines are "completely safe", as we're constantly being told, why are manufacturers and administrators of vaccines granted legal immunity from any harmful effects? Dr Cernic writes: "Claims that vaccines 'undergo rigorous and extensive testing', that they 'are held to the highest standard of safety', etc. are utter lies. The fact that these claims [are] made by distinguished state institutions, charged with vaccine safety surveillance and monitoring, makes these lies absolutely inexcusable." This is no rhetorical diatribe - people don't get awarded Ph.D.'s for unevidenced rants!

Technocratic scientism's manic attempt to silence any systematic criticism of vaccination ideology is fundamentally anti-scientific, and we must fearlessly call it out wherever we see it. And Feyerabend's devastating critique of scientism complemented by Cernic's exhaustive academic study of vaccination ideology are excellent places to start.

Richard House Ph.D. is a chartered psychologist, a former counsellor-psychotherapist and former senior university lecturer in psychology and in education studies. A trained Steiner teacher and a publishing editor, he lives and campaigns in Stroud, Gloucestershire.